## 國立臺北大學 104 學年度碩士班一般入學考試試題

	至ルハナ	• •		一	7 7 1	A may 5
系(所)	組別:法律學	系(法律專業組	)			
科	目:英文				第1頁	共3頁
			□可	☑不可攜帶任	何參考資料及	
			_ •		119 7 7 1100	
請標明題號依	文序作答					
I. Vocabulary P	art A.					
(Please match	n the words with their d	efinitions. 40%,每題四	四分,單選	[不倒扣]		
1.usurp						
2. bizarre						
3.trivial						
4. alleviate						
5. arbiter						
						<b>V</b>
	e importance, insignific	cant				
	annex, grab					
	easier, lighten					
D. judge						
E. fantast	tic, odd					
( - 65						
6.efficacy					•	
7. propagate						
8. innocuous 9. extraneous						
10. ostensible						
10. OSIGNOTOR	,					
A. harmle	ess, mild, innocent					
B. produc	ce, multiply, spread					
C. false,	counterfeit, specious					
D. power	to produce an effect					
E. foreign	n, not belonging					
** ** 1 1 5						
II. Vocabulary P		41	興 ⇌7 : 41-			- 200/ - 与 時 -
		the <i>italicized</i> words [斜	短子」In u	ie sentences. Ose in	ie context as a ciu	16.30%,母超六
分,單選不倒才	۵)					
11. Samaritar	n A) medical studen	t B) businessman	C) one who	o acts hastily D)	one who helps un	selfishly
	,	erations, good Samaritan	•	• ,	-	15011151117
11 monut	and, zono mig two op	, 500a zuman man				
12. mandated	d A) permitted B)	denied C) ordered	D) sugge	ested		
		g that <i>mandated</i> that all	•		r sex, should have	e equal access to
locker roo		-	• ,	_		-

試題隨卷繳交

接背面

## 國立臺北大學104學年度碩士班一般入學考試試題

系(所)組別:法律學系(法律專業組)

科 目:英文

第2頁 共3頁

□可 ☑不可攜帶任何參考資料及電子資訊用具

13. syndicate A) contest B) university C) conference D) organization

Over 2,000 readers responded to a recent newspaper column that psychologists Ellis Sloane writes for a Midwestern newspaper syndicate.

14. succumb A) ignore B) give in C) fail D) justify
He found that when careless teachers present the temptation, most youngsters will *succumb* to it.

15. spectrum A) horizon B) universe C) arrangement of colored bands D) canvas Each letter stands for a color of the visible *spectrum*.

## III. Reading Comprehension (30%, 每題六分, 單選不倒扣)

In his 2015 State of the Union address, recognizing that child care is an economic imperative for families and for our economic future, President Obama announced a proposal to help working families make ends meet while investing more in our nation's next generation. It's a plan he elaborated on today with his remarks in Lawrence, Kansas.

The President's proposal includes a landmark investment in the Child Care Development Fund that guarantees every single low- and moderate-income family with young children can access care. In addition, this proposal would simplify and triple existing child care tax credits to \$3,000 a year for young children and ensure that most middle class families are eligible for the full benefit. In addition, he is proposing a new innovation fund that would enable states to better serve families who face unique challenges in securing access to child care. Combined, these proposals would serve more than 9 million children. Looking at the past experiences of the United States, as well as more modern experiences in other countries, these proposals would help parents work, while improving children's long-term outcomes.

But, let's go back to the Lanham Act for a minute, because its outcome, and its long-term effects in particular, are telling. Research finds that the Lanham Act's provision of high-quality child care provided immediate benefits to parents, children, and families, and also improved outcomes for children in the long-run. In a 1947 study of two centers in Bellflower, California, all surveyed mothers responded that their child enjoyed child care, and 81 percent reported generally favorable opinions of the program. Mothers were particularly likely to note improvements in their children's social behaviors, and researchers noted the program strengthened family bonds.

A recent study by Chris Herbst shows that the benefits of the Lanham Act for parents and children were much broader. The study compares young children and mothers who lived in states that received generous amounts of federal funding during the program with mothers and children in states that received relatively little funding, or with children who were older than 12 and therefore ineligible. Access to child care increased mothers' employment, while also increasing the average work week for those already employed. Moreover, the program also improved children's long-term outcomes through their working years: an additional \$100 in Lanham Act funding increased high school graduation rates by 1.8 percentage points, college graduation rates by 1.9 percentage points, and employment at ages 44-59 by 0.7 percentage point. Overall, the Lanham Act increased participants' annual earnings by an average of 1.8 percent. Using a summary index of adult outcomes, the per-dollar long-term benefits to children from the Lanham Act are comparable in magnitude to more recent early childhood investments, including Head Start and universal preschool in Georgia.

試題隨卷繳交

接下頁

## 國立臺北大學 104 學年度碩士班一般入學考試試題

系(所)組別:法律學系(法律專業組)

科 目:英文

第3頁 共3頁

□可 ☑不可攜帶任何參考資料及電子資訊用具

- 16. What is the best title to this article?
  - A. "2015 State of the Union address" by President Obama
  - B. Say Good Bye to "the Lanham Act"
  - C. Challenges of Middle-class Families in the United States
  - D. An "Experiment" in Universal Child Care in the United States
- 17. Which of the following is correspondent with the meaning of this article?
  - A. To invest more fund for our nation's next generation, President Obama announced a proposal to end child care tax of working families.
  - B. Although the Lanham Act provided immediate benefits to parents, children, and families, it only benefits few parents and children.
  - C. Because access to child care increased mothers' employment, increased the average working hours for those already employed, parents do not agree with the provisions of the Lanham Act.
  - D. The program supporting by the Lanham Act also indicated positive correlation between its funding and children's graduation rates.
- 18. President Obama recognized that child care is an economic imperative for families and for our economic future. What does the phrase "an economic imperative" means?
  - A. an impermissible order in economics
  - B. an impermeable restriction to most middle class families
  - C. an indispensable measure for most middle class families
  - D. an insurmountable obstacle for families and for the economic future
- 19. The President's proposal does not include \_\_\_\_\_
  - A. tripling existing child care tax up to \$3,000 a year
  - B. a new innovation fund enabling states for specific families
  - C. investing the Child Care Development Fund to guarantee single low- and moderate-income family
  - D. helping parents, and improving children's long-term outcomes
- 20. According to "the Chris Herbst Study", which of the following is not elaborated?
  - A. The Lanham Act increased participants' annual earnings.
  - B. The benefits of the Lanham Act for parents and children were much broader.
  - C. All surveyed mothers responded that their child enjoyed child care, and 81 percent reported generally favorable opinions of the program.
  - D. The per-dollar long-term benefits to children from the Lanham Act are comparable in magnitude to more recent early childhood investments.